

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was *not* written for publication and is *not* binding precedent of the Board.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte CHRISTOPHER M. GLENNA and PAUL J. RICHTMAN

Application 10/664,429
Technology Center 1700

MAILED

MAR 26 2007

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This Image File Wrapper (IFW) application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on December 20, 2006. A review has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below:

APPEAL BRIEF

Appellants filed an Appeal Brief which was received by the USPTO on April 10, 2006. The headings contained in the Brief are not in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 41.37(c)(1). In addition, the following sections lack required content:

Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

The content provided under the section “**Summary of Invention**” (which should be “**Summary of Claimed Subject Matter**”) is not commensurate in scope with 37 C.F.R. § 41.37(c)(1)(v) because the content does not give a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal.

Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on Appeal

The content provided under the heading “**Issues**” (which should be “**Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal**”) does not give an accurate and concise statement identifying the grounds of rejection presented for review.

Evidence and Related Proceedings Appendices

Two required headings are mislabeled as “Appendix B,” and “Appendix C.” The headings should be relabeled as follows:

Evidence Appendix as required by 37 C.F.R. § 41.37(c)(1)(ix); and the

Related Proceedings Appendix as required by 37 C.F.R. § 41.37(c)(1)(x). As specified above, correction is required.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the application is returned to the Examiner:

- (1) to instruct Appellants to provide a Supplemental Appeal Brief which fully complies with 37 C.F.R. § 41.37(c) (and to correct content related to sections 37 C.F.R. § 41.37(c)(1)(v), (c)(1)(vi), (c)(1)(ix), and (c)(1)(x));
- (2) to have said Supplemental Appeal Brief made a part of the IFW

Application 10/664,429

Official record; and
(3) for such further action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

By: Patrick Nolan
PATRICK J. NOLAN
Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator
(571) 272-9797

3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY
P.O. BOX 33427
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427

PJN/hh